

GRAPHIC SEQUENCES WITH A REALIZATION CONTAINING A UNION OF CLIQUES

MICHAEL J. FERRARA

DEPARTMENT OF THEORETICAL AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS
THE UNIVERSITY OF AKRON
AKRON, OH 44325

ABSTRACT. An integer sequence π is said to be *graphic* if it is the degree sequence of some simple graph G . In this case we say that G is a *realization* of π . Given a graph H , and a graphic sequence π we say that π is *potentially H -graphic* if there is some realization of π that contains H as a subgraph. We define $\sigma(H, n)$ to be the minimum even integer such that every graphic sequence with sum at least $\sigma(H, n)$ is potentially H -graphic. In this paper, we determine $\sigma(H, n)$ for the graph $H = K_{m_1} \cup K_{m_2} \cup \cdots \cup K_{m_k}$ when n is a sufficiently large integer. This is accomplished by determining $\sigma(K_j + kK_2, n)$ where j and k are arbitrary positive integers, and considering the case where $j = m - 2k$ and $m = \sum m_i$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a simple undirected graph, and let $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote the vertex set and edge set of G respectively. We let \overline{G} denote the complement of G . Denote the complete graph on t vertices by K_t and let $d(v)$ denote the degree of a vertex in a graph G . Given any two graphs G and H , their join, denoted $G + H$, is the graph with $V(G + H) = V(G) \cup V(H)$ and $E(G + H) = E(G) \cup E(H) \cup \{gh \mid g \in V(G), h \in V(H)\}$.

A sequence of nonincreasing, nonnegative integers $\pi = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ is *graphic* if there is a graph G of order n having degree sequence π . In this case, G is said to *realize* π , and we will write $\pi = \pi(G)$. If a sequence π consists of the terms d_1, \dots, d_t having multiplicities m_1, \dots, m_t , we may write $\pi = (d_1^{m_1}, \dots, d_t^{m_t})$.

Let $\sigma(\pi)$ denote the sum of the terms in π . Let π be a graphic sequence and let H be a graph. We say that π is *potentially H -graphic* if there is some realization of π that contains H as a subgraph. Define $\sigma(H, n)$ to be the smallest even integer m so that every n -term graphic sequence π with $\sigma(\pi) \geq m$ is potentially H -graphic. As $\sigma(\pi) = 2|E(G(\pi))|$, the problem of determining $\sigma(H, n)$ is a natural variant of the Turán problem.

The following useful theorem from [5] is an extension of a theorem of Rao [14].

Theorem 1.1. *If π is a graphic sequence with a realization G containing H as a subgraph, then there is a realization G' of π containing H with the vertices of H having the $|V(H)|$ largest degrees of π .*

For a survey of results we refer the reader to [15], and for any undefined terms to [1]

2. KNOWN RESULTS

2.1. **Cliques.** In [2] Erdős, Jacobson and Lehel conjectured that

$$\sigma(K_t, n) = (t-2)(2n-t+1) + 2.$$

The conjecture arose from consideration of the graph $K_{(t-2)} + \overline{K}_{(n-t+2)}$. It is easy to see that this graph contains no K_t , is the unique realization of the sequence

$$((n-1)^{t-2}, (t-2)^{n-t+2}),$$

and has degree sum $(t-2)(2n-t+1)$. Erdős *et al.* proved the conjecture for $t = 3$ and $n \geq 6$. The cases $t = 4$ and 5 were proved separately (see [5] and [8], and [9]). Li, Song and Luo [10] proved the conjecture true for $t \geq 6$ and $n \geq \binom{t}{2} + 3$. The following summarizes these results.

Theorem 2.1. *For $t \geq 3$ and $n \geq n(t)$ sufficiently large,*

$$\sigma(K_t, n) = (t-2)(2n-t+1) + 2.$$

The goal of this paper is to extend Theorem 2.1 as follows:

Theorem 2.2. *Let $H = K_{m_1} \cup \dots \cup K_{m_k}$ where each $m_i \geq 2$. Then for sufficiently large n ,*

$$\sigma(H, n) = (m-k-1)(2n-m+k) + 2,$$

where $m = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i$.

One can see that $\sigma(H, n) > (m-k-1)(2n-m+k)$ by considering the graphic sequence

$$\pi = ((n-1)^{m-k-1}, (m-k-1)^{n-m+k+1}) \quad (1)$$

which is uniquely realized by $K_{m-k-1} + \overline{K}_{n-m+k+1}$. This graph does not contain a copy of H , as this would force two vertices from the same clique to reside in $\overline{K}_{n-m+k+1}$. The sequence in the case where $m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_k$ first appears in [16], and the value of $\sigma(kK_2, n)$ was determined in [5]. Additionally, (1) can be generated using the techniques found in [3].

2.2. **The Friendship Graph.** The friendship graph, denoted F_k , is the graph composed of k triangles intersecting in a single vertex. F_k can also be defined as $K_1 + kK_2$. The following was shown in [4].

Theorem 2.3. *For $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq \frac{9}{2}k^2 + \frac{7}{2}k - \frac{1}{2}$,*

$$\sigma(F_k, n) = k(2n-k-1) + 2. \quad (2)$$

In this paper, we will consider the graph F_k^j defined as $K_j + kK_2$, which is an extension of the friendship graph. We will show the following:

Theorem 2.4. *Let j and k be positive integers. Then there exists a positive integer $n(j, k)$ such that for all $n > n(j, k)$,*

$$\sigma(F_k^j, n) = (j+k-1)(2n-j-k) + 2.$$

Consideration of the graphic sequence

$$\pi = ((n-1)^{j+k-1}, (j+k-1)^{n-j-k+1}) \quad (3)$$

which is uniquely realized by $K_{j+k-1} + \overline{K}_{n-j-k+1}$, yields that for all $n \geq j+2k$,

$$\sigma(F_k^j, n) > (j+k-1)(2n-j-k). \quad (4)$$

Both (3) and (4) first appeared in [16] and can also be generated using the techniques in [3].

Again letting $H = K_{m_1} \cup \dots \cup K_{m_k}$ with each $m_i \geq 2$ and $m = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i$, we note that F_k^{m-2k} contains H as a subgraph. This immediately implies that $\sigma(H, n) \leq \sigma(F_k^{m-2k}, n)$. Theorem 2.4 would therefore imply that $\sigma(H, n) \leq (m-k-1)(2n-m+k) + 2$ and thus prove Theorem 2.2.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.4

From here forward, let n be a sufficiently large integer and let $\pi = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ be a nonincreasing, nonnegative integer sequence with $\sigma(\pi) \geq (j+k-1)(2n-j-k) + 2$. We will present several facts about the terms of π .

Lemma 3.1. *The following hold:*

- (i) $d_j \geq j + 2k - 1$.
- (ii) $d_{j+k+1} \geq j + k$

Proof. To establish (i), assume that $d_j \leq j + 2k - 2$, and note that this implies

$$\sigma(\pi) \leq (n-1)(j-1) + (n-j+1)(j+2k-2).$$

For n sufficiently large, this is strictly less than $(j+k-1)(2n-j-k) + 2$. We establish (ii) by noting that Theorem 2.1 implies that $\sigma(\pi) \geq \sigma(K_{j+k+1}, n)$. This implies that d_{j+k+1} must be at least $j+k$.

□

Furthermore, we may assume that

$$d_n \geq j + k - 1. \quad (5)$$

Indeed, let G be some realization of π , and assume that there is some vertex v_0 in $V(G)$ such that $\delta(G) = d(v_0) \leq j+k-2$. We let $G_1 = G - v_0$ and note that

$$\sigma(\pi(G_1)) \geq \sigma(\pi(G)) - 2(j+k-2) \geq (j+k-1)(2(n-1) - j - k) + 4.$$

If the minimum degree of G_1 is at least $j+k-1$, we will redefine π to be $\pi(G_1)$. Otherwise we will continue this procedure and generate graphs G_2, \dots, G_i as follows. If G_{i-1} has minimum degree at least $j+k-1$, then we will redefine π to be $\pi(G_{i-1})$. Otherwise, let v_{i-1} be a vertex in $V(G_{i-1})$ such that $\delta(G_{i-1}) = d(v_{i-1}) \leq j+k-2$. We let $G_i = G_{i-1} - v_{i-1}$ and note that

$$\sigma(\pi(G_i)) \geq \sigma(\pi(G_{i-1})) - 2(j+k-2) \geq (j+k-1)(2(n-i) - j - k) + 2 + 2i.$$

Either this process will terminate, or if n is sufficiently large there will be some sufficiently large i such that $\sigma(\pi(G_i)) \geq \sigma(K_{j+2k}, n-i)$. If such an i exists, then $\pi(G_i)$, and hence $\pi(G)$ will be potentially K_{j+2k} -graphic. This would suffice to prove Theorem 2.4, and hence we may assume that for some G_i , $\delta(G_i) \geq j+k-1$, and redefine π to be $\pi(G_i)$.

We construct the sequence

$$\pi_1 = (d_2^{(1)}, \dots, d_n^{(1)})$$

from π by deleting d_1 , reducing the first d_1 remaining terms of π by 1 and then reordering the last $n-j-2k$ terms to be nonincreasing. For $2 \leq i \leq j$, we construct

$$\pi_i = (d_{i+1}^{(i)}, \dots, d_n^{(i)})$$

from

$$\pi_{i-1} = (d_i^{(i-1)}, \dots, d_n^{(i-1)})$$

by deleting $d_i^{(i-1)}$, reducing the first $d_i^{(i-1)}$ nonzero terms of π_{i-1} by 1, and then ordering the last $n-j-2k$ terms to be nonincreasing. The manner in which we construct π_i , $j+1 \leq i \leq j+2k$ depends on two cases.

Case 1: $d_{j+k-1} \geq j+2k-1$.

In this case, we proceed as above and construct π_i , $j+1 \leq i \leq j+2k$ from π_{i-1} by removing $d_i^{(i-1)}$, reducing the first $d_i^{(i-1)}$ nonzero terms by 1, and then ordering the last $n-j-2k$ terms to be nonincreasing.

Case 2: There is some l , $0 \leq l \leq k-2$ such that $d_{j+k-l-1} < j+2k-1$.

Take the maximal such l ; thus if $l < k-2$, we assume that $d_{j+k-l-2} \geq j+2k-1$. We construct π_i , $j+1 \leq i \leq j+k-l-2$ as above, by removing $d_i^{(i-1)}$ from π_{i-1} , reducing the first $d_{i+1}^{(i)}$ terms by 1, and then ordering the last $n-j-2k$ terms to be nonincreasing. We then construct π_i , $j+k-l-1 \leq i \leq j+k$ from π_{i-1} by removing $d_i^{(i-1)}$, reducing $d_i^{(i-1)}$ consecutive positive terms *starting with* $d_{j+2k+1-i}$ by one, and then ordering the last $n-j-2k$ terms to be nonincreasing. Note that the assumption that $d_n \geq j+k-1$ implies that $d_n^{(j+k-l-2)} \geq 1$. Thus, since $d_i^{(i-1)} \leq j+2k-1-i$, we can be assured that if n is large enough, say at least $(j+2k)(k+2)$, there will be a sufficient number of positive terms in each π_{i-1} to construct π_i without forcing any term in π_i to be negative. We then construct π_i , $j+k+1 \leq i \leq j+2k$ from π_{i-1} as above, by removing $d_i^{(i-1)}$, reducing the first $\pi_i^{(i-1)}$ positive terms by one, and then ordering the last $n-j-2k$ terms to be nonincreasing.

We now present a crucial lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *If π_{j+2k} is graphic, then π is potentially $K_j + kK_2$ -graphic.*

Proof. We first note that if π_{j+2k} is graphic, we can construct realizations of π_i , $1 \leq i \leq j+2k-1$ and π by adding a vertex to $G(\pi_{i+1})$ and adjoining it to vertices of those degrees that were reduced by one in the formation of π_{i+1} . In creating π_1, \dots, π_j , the fact that $d_j \geq j+2k-1$ implies that the realization of π created in this manner will contain a copy of $K_j + \overline{K}_{2k}$ on the vertices of degree d_1, \dots, d_{j+2k} ,

with the clique lying on those vertices of degree d_1, \dots, d_j . It remains to show that in this realization of π it is also possible to construct a matching of size k on the vertices of degree d_{j+1}, \dots, d_{j+2k} . To see this, we simply note that in constructing π_{j+i} , $1 \leq i \leq k$ we always reduce $d_{j+2k+1-i}^{(i)}$ by one, assuring that in our realization of π , the vertex of degree d_{j+i} will be adjacent to the vertex of degree $d_{j+2k+1-i}$, assuring the desired matching. \square

We will use the following two theorems to complete the proof of Theorem 2.4, found in [11] and [13], respectively.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\pi = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ be a non-increasing sequence of non-negative integers, where $d_1 = m$ and the degree sum is even. If there exists an integer $n_1 \leq n$ such that $d_{n_1} \geq h \geq 1$ and $n_1 \geq \frac{1}{h} \left\lfloor \frac{(m+h+1)^2}{4} \right\rfloor$, then π is graphic.*

Theorem 3.4. *Let $n \geq 2r + 2$ and $\pi = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ be graphic with $d_{r+1} \geq r$. If $d_{2r+2} \geq r - 1$, then π is potentially K_{r+1} -graphic.*

The following two lemmas will complete the proof of Theorem 2.4.

Lemma 3.5. *Let π be an n -term graphic degree sequence for sufficiently large n with degree sum at least $(j + k - 1)(2n - j - k) + 2$. If $d_{2j+4k} \geq j + 2k - 2$ then π is potentially $K_j + kK_2$ -graphic.*

Proof. If $d_{j+2k} \geq j + 2k - 1$ then π is potentially K_{j+2k} -graphic by Theorem 3.4, which suffices to prove Theorem 2.4. Otherwise, $d_{j+2k} \leq j + 2k - 2$ and this implies that $d_{j+2k} = d_{j+2k+1} = \dots = d_{2j+4k} = j + 2k - 2$, and for $1 \leq i \leq j + 2k$, the terms $d_{j+2k+1}^{(i)}, \dots, d_{2j+4k}^{(i)}$ differ by at most one. Hence π_{j+2k} satisfies, for some $m \geq 1$,

$$j + 2k - 2 \geq m = d_{j+2k+1}^{(j+2k)} \geq \dots \geq d_{2j+4k}^{(j+2k)} \geq m - 1.$$

If $m = 1$, π_{j+2k} must be graphic as the degree sum of π_{j+2k} is even. If $m \geq 2$, then

$$\frac{1}{m-1} \left\lfloor \frac{(m + (m-1) + 1)^2}{4} \right\rfloor \leq m + 2 \leq j + 2k.$$

By Theorem 3.3 π_{j+2k} is graphic, and hence by Lemma 3.2, π is $K_j + kK_2$ -graphic. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let π be an n -term graphic degree sequence for sufficiently large n with degree sum at least $(j + k - 1)(2n - j - k) + 2$. If $d_{2j+4k} \leq j + 2k - 3$ then π is potentially $K_j + kK_2$ -graphic.*

Proof. First, we establish that $d_1 \geq 2j + 4k - 2$. If not, then

$$\sigma(\pi) \leq (2j + 4k - 3)(2j + 4k - 1) + (j + 2k - 3)(n - 2j - 4k + 1).$$

This is less than $(j + k - 1)(2n - j - k) + 2$ for n sufficiently large.

We proceed by induction on j . Theorem 2.3 establishes Theorem 2.4 in the case $j = 1$. We thus assume $j \geq 2$.

Now suppose that either $d_1 = n - 1$ or there exists an r such that $2k + 1 \leq r \leq d_1 + 1$ such that $d_{r+1} < d_r$. As the degree sum of π_1 , which is graphic as a consequence of the Havel-Hakimi algorithm [6, 7], is at least $\sigma(K_{j-1} + kK_2, n - 1)$, by induction there is some realization of π_1 that contains a copy of $K_{j-1} + kK_2$. Furthermore, by Theorem 1.1 this implies that there exists a realization of π_1 with $K_{j-1} + kK_2$ on those vertices having degree $d_2^{(1)}, \dots, d_{j+2k}^{(1)}$. This implies that π would be potentially $K_j + kK_2$ -graphic.

Thus we assume that no such r exists and hence that

$$n - 2 \geq d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_{j+2k} = d_{j+2k+1} = \dots = d_{2j+4k} = \dots = d_{d_1+2}.$$

We can thus conclude that there is some $m \geq 1$ with

$$j + 2k - 3 \geq m = d_{j+2k+1}^{(j+2k)} \geq \dots \geq d_{2j+4k}^{(j+2k)} \geq m - 1.$$

We may then complete the proof as in the previous lemma. \square

Together, Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6 complete the proof of Theorem 2.4. As discussed above, this suffices to prove Theorem 2.2.

Acknowledgement: The author would like to thank John Schmitt, whose numerous helpful comments and suggestions greatly improved the clarity of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bollobás, B., *Extremal Graph Theory*, Academic Press Inc. (1978).
- [2] Erdős, P., Jacobson, M.S., Lehel, J., Graphs Realizing the Same Degree Sequence and their Respective Clique Numbers, *Graph Theory, Combinatorics and Applications*, Vol. I, 1991, ed. Alavi, Chartrand, Oellerman and Schwenk, 439-449.
- [3] Ferrara, M., Schmitt, J., A Sharp Lower Bound for Potentially H -graphic Sequences. submitted.
- [4] Ferrara, M., Gould, R., Schmitt, J., Graphic Sequences with a Realization Containing a Friendship Graph, to appear in *Ars Combinatoria*.
- [5] Gould, R.J., Jacobson, M.S., Lehel, J., Potentially G -graphic degree sequences, *Combinatorics, Graph Theory, and Algorithms* (eds. Alavi, Lick and Schwenk), Vol. I, New York: Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1999, 387-400.
- [6] Hakimi, S.L., On the realizability of a set of integers as degrees of vertices of a graph, *J. SIAM Appl. Math.* **10** (1962), 496-506.
- [7] Havel, V., A remark on the existence of finite graphs (Czech.), *Časopis Pěst. Mat.* **80** (1955), 477-480.
- [8] Li, J., Song, Z., An extremal problem on the potentially P_k -graphic sequences, *The International Symposium on Combinatorics and Applications*, June 28-30, 1996 (W.Y.C. Chen et al., eds.) Tanjin, Nankai University 1996, 269-276.
- [9] Li, J., Song, Z., The smallest degree sum that yields potentially P_k -graphical sequences, *J. Graph Theory* **29** (1998), no.2, 63-72.
- [10] Li, J., Song, Z., Luo, R., The Erdős-Jacobson-Lehel conjecture on potentially P_k -graphic sequences is true, *Science in China, Ser. A*, **41** 1998, (5):510-520.
- [11] Li, J., Yin, J., The smallest degree sum that yields potentially $K_{r,r}$ -graphic sequences, *Science in China, Ser. A*, **45** (June 2002), (6):694-705.
- [12] Li, J., Yin, J., An extremal problem on potentially $K_{r,s}$ -graphic sequences, *Discrete Math.*, **260** (2003), 295-305.

GRAPHIC SEQUENCES WITH A REALIZATION CONTAINING A UNION OF CLIQUES

- [13] Li, J., Yin, J., Two sufficient conditions for a graphic sequence to have a realization with prescribed clique size, to appear in *Discrete Math*.
- [14] Rao, A.R., The clique number of a graph with a given degree sequence, Proc. Symposium on Graph Theory (A.R. Rao ed.), MacMillan and Co. India Ltd., *Indian Statistical Institute Lecture Notes Series 4* (1979), 251-267.
- [15] Rao, S.B., A survey of the theory of potentially P -graphic and forcibly P -graphic degree sequences, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, No. **855**, Springer Verlag, 1981, 417-440.
- [16] Schmitt, J. On Potentially P -graphic Degree Sequences and Saturated Graphs. Ph.D. Dissertation, Emory University. May 2005.